

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Blyx 1450 Alexandring Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/899,653	07/05/2001	Joseph Bianco	SUN01-02 7719		
7590 11/23/2005			EXAMINER		
Barry W. Chapin, Esq.			BASHORE, WILLIAM L		
CHAPIN & HU		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Westborough Office Park			7.10.1		
1700 West Park	Drive	2176			
Westborough,	MA 01581	DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/899,69	53	BIANCO, JOSEPH					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		William L.	Bashore	2176					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEMENT IN A CONTROL OF THE MAIL INSIGNS OF THE MAIL IN	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evi- tion. In period will apply and with apply apply and with apply apply and with apply and with apply apply and with apply	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	06 September 2	<u>2005</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice un	nder <i>Ex par</i> te Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,8-17,19,21-27,29,31,32 a</u>	nd 34 is/are pend	ling in the application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			,					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4,6,8-17,19,21-27,29,31 and	32 is/are rejected	I.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election r	equirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu								
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu		• •						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	·(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/		5) Notice of Informal Page 1		52)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: RCE filed 9/6/2005, to the original application filed July 5, 2001.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-17, 19, 21-27, 29, 31-33 pending. Claim 33 has been canceled. Claim 34 has been added. Claims 1, 14, 27, 29, 31, 34 are independent claims.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/6/2005 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

4. Newly submitted claim 34 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Newly added independent claim 34 recites in pertinent part "play time of the document". Since this implies the play time of an audio and/or video object within (or at least associated with) a document (as defined by Applicant's Specification), said claim is substantially directed to synchronization of diverse media, class 715, subclass 500.1.

In contrast, all other pending claims are substantially directed to edit, composition, or storage control of documents, class 715, subclass 530.

Art Unit: 2176

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits.

Accordingly, claim 34 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 6, 8-14, 19, 21-27, 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strasnick et al., (hereinafter Strasnick), U.S. Patent No. 5,671,381 (filed June 6, 1995), issued September 1997, in view of Pirolli et al. (hereinafter Pirolli), U.S. Patent No. 6,098,064 (filed May 22, 1998), issued August 2000.

In regard to independent claim 1, Strasnick teaches display, management, and access of files representing documents using a three dimensional display (Strasnick Abstract, Figures 1, 4A-4C, also column 10 lines 14-18; compare with claim 1 "A method for accessing documents using a graphical user interface, the method comprising the steps of:").

Strasnick teaches that information objects (data blocks) contain attributes, said attributes are used for mapping each data block resulting in a 3D correlation between attributes (Strasnick column 4 lines 18-22, 35-40, 45-51). Figure 1 of Strasnick shows a file displayed as a 3D column identifying each document (a form of document identifier), the height of said column proportional to its size, while the column's

Art Unit: 2176

color represents the file's age (Strasnick column 5 lines 50-67, column 6 lines 10-14). Therefore correlations (and attributes) between file sizes and ages are accessed via their graphical depictions and positions relative to one another.

Strasnick does not specifically teach "retrieval times" associated with a document. However, Pirolli teaches document analysis based upon ranking of a "needs list", said list associated with collected data regarding document retrieval times (as well as document size) (Pirolli column 10 lines 5-11). It is noted that Prrolli's data can be graphed accordingly (Pirolli column 9 lines 30-33). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Pirolli to Strasnick, providing Strasnick the benefit of retrieval times for aiding in the decision process regarding whether to download a particular document.

Strasnick teaches zooming onto a selected data block (i.e. a file document) and retrieving/viewing the contents of said document (Strasnick column 10 lines 12-18, Figure 4A, 4B; compare with claim 1 "receiving a user document selection associated with the document identifier", and "retrieving the document associated with the document identifier.").

Strasnick does not specifically disclose the word "indices" for producing visual correlations. However, since Strasnick's display (Strasnick Figure 1) clearly depicts a three dimensional bar graph, and since it is generally well known that two or three dimensional graphs (at least implicitly) utilize indices in the x, y, z planes, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the display of indices along with the display of Strasnick Figure 1, providing the benefit of explicit indices to further aid in correlations.

Strasnick teaches a multi-dimensional grid (Strasnick Figure 1).

Art Unit: 2176

In regard to dependent claims 6, Strasnick teaches a plurality of document files correlated with each other in a directory (Strasnick Figure 1, column 5 lines 50-66)

In regard to dependent claims 8, 9, Strasnick teaches multiple correlation frameworks which can be used with a plurality of document sets and directories (Strasnick Figures 1-3, 4A-4C), said document sets can be replaced accordingly.

In regard to dependent claim 10, Strasnick teaches a hyperlink to other data blocks, or to related cells (since said hyperlink emanates from the base document, it is therefore accordated with the document) (Strasnick column 10 lines 49-51, Figure 4A item 410).

In regard to dependent claim 11, Strasnick teaches a navigation control for navigating around columns, etc. (Strasnick Figure 1 upper left control buttons, slider bars, etc., also column 4 lines 52-65).

In regard to dependent claims 12, 13, Strasnick teaches selecting a cell to view (access) its contents (i.e. displayed on a monitor for visual perusal) (Strasnick column 10 lines 15-18). The selection of said document incorporates an identifier, an associated link, and a page, as explained above.

In regard to independent claim 14, claim 14 reflects the system comprising computer readable instructions used for implementing the methods as claimed in claim 1, and in further view of the following, is rejected along the same rationale.

Strasnick teaches an I/O adapter, a communications adapter, a display, a memory, and a CPU (with processor (Strasnick Figure 13).

Art Unit: 2176

In regard to dependent claims 19, 21-26, claims 15-17, 19, 20-26 reflect the system comprising computer readable instructions used for implementing the methods as claimed in claims 6, 8-13, respectively, and are rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to independent claim 27, claim 27 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claim 14, and is rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to independent claim 29, claim 29 reflects the computer program product comprising computer readable instructions used for implementing the methods as claimed in claim 1, and in further view of the following, is rejected along the same rationale.

Strasnick teaches a display, a memory, and a CPU (with processor (Strasnick Figure 13).

In regard to independent claim 31, claim 31 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claim 1, and in further view of the following, is rejected along the same rationale.

Strasnick teaches display, management, and access of files representing documents using a three dimensional display (Strasnick Abstract, Figures 1, 4A-4C, also column 10 lines 14-18).

Strasnick teaches that information objects (data blocks) contain attributes, said attributes are used for mapping each data block resulting in a 3D correlation between attributes (Strasnick column 4 lines 18-22, 35-40, 45-51). Figure 1 of Strasnick shows a file displayed as a 3D column identifying each document (a form of document identifier), the height of said column proportional to its size, while the column's color represents the file's age (Strasnick column 5 lines 50-67, column 6 lines 10-14). Therefore correlations (and attributes) between file sizes and ages are accessed via their graphical depictions and positions relative to one another.

Art Unit: 2176

Strasnick does not specifically disclose "indices" for producing visual correlations with values. However, since Strasnick's display (Strasnick Figure 1) clearly depicts a three dimensional bar graph, and since it is generally well known that two or three dimensional graphs utilize (at least implicitly) indices in the x, y, z planes, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the display of indices along with the display of Strasnick Figure 1, providing the benefit of explicit indices to further aid in correlations.

In regard to dependent claim 32, Strasnick teaches zooming onto a selected data block (i.e. a file document) and retrieving/viewing the contents of said document (Strasnick column 10 lines 12-18, Figure 4A, 4B).

7. Claims 2-4, 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strasnick, in view of Pirolli, and further in view of Freeman et al. (hereinafter Freeman), U.S. Patent No. 6,006,227 filed June 28, 1996, issued December 1999 (cited in a previous action).

In regard to dependent claim 2, Strasnick teaches monitoring and updating of selected cells (i.e. documents) (Strasnick column 9 lines 50-55, column 10 lines 27-33). Strasnick also teaches a database for storing data, as well as connection to a network (Strasnick column 9 lines 50-55, Figure 13, column 5 lines 29-39). Strasnick does not specifically teach sending a document identity to a document server to obtain updated properties. However, Freeman teaches receiving data (documents) from the Internet (Freeman column 2 lines 52-56, column 3 lines 6-12). Since Internet (i.e. Web based) documents are accessed via URLs (a form of document identity), said document is retrieved (from Web servers) along with its properties to be analyzed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time

Art Unit: 2176

of the invention to apply Freeman to Strasnick, providing Strasnick the benefit of accessing Internet documents, therefore accessing a worldwide collection of files.

In regard to dependent claims 3, 4, Strasnick teaches automatically and continuously updating files (Strasnick column 9 lines 50-55, column 6 lines 27-30). The period of updating is continuous.

Strasnick teaches communication with a network (Strasnick Figure 13), said communication inherently incorporating signals related to bandwidth of the connection. Strasnick does not specifically teach a time response signal for computing a time property. However, Freeman teaches external applications having editing/creation access to documents, whereby incoming and newly created documents are displayed accordingly, since said documents are externally created, access time and bandwidth are associated accordingly (Freeman column 6 lines 38-52). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Freeman to Strasnick, providing Strasnick the benefit of external access (i.e. networked based editing programs, etc.) for associating time of access.

In regard to dependent claims 15-17, claims 15-17 reflect the system comprising computer readable instructions used for implementing the methods as claimed in claims 2-4, respectively, and are rejected along the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 09/899,653

Art Unit: 2176

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William L. Bashore whose telephone number is (571) 272-4088. The examiner can normally be reached on 11:30am - 8:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on (571) 272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

November 18, 2005